

2190 MILLER DRIVE

LONGMONT CO 80501



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/224,202	12/30/98	CARLSON		L.	3123-233-1	_
Γ		LM51/1022	7		EXAMINER	
DAVID M SIGMO				SNIEZE	<,Α	
MAXTOR CORPOR	RATION					

2753

DATE MAILED: 10/22/99

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PAPER NUMBER

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/224,202

Applicante)

Carlson et al.

Examiner

ANDREW L. SNIEZEK

Group Art Unit 2753



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 1, 1999</u>						
☐ This action is FINAL .						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exist solutions of the second section is set to example and the second seco	espond within the period for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claims						
	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
☐ Claim(s)						
☐ Claim(s)						
Claim(s)						
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). e priority documents have been ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
Attachment(s)						
 □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 						
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	·					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948						

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/224202

Art Unit: 2753

1. The information disclosure statement filed 6/1/99 will be considered upon election of a single specie.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: There appears to be 5 distinct arrangements used to determine the acceptable flying height of a head as pointed out by Brief Description of the Drawings (figures 3-5, figure 6, figure 7, figure 8 and figure 9 along with corresponding disclosure).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/224202 Page 3

Art Unit: 2753

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Sniezek whose telephone and VoiceMail number is (703) 308-1602. If a plurality of attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. Psitos, can be reached on (703) 308-1598.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization (Group 2750) where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-9051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Andrew L. Sniezek
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2753

A.L.S. October 20, 1999